

## REMARKS

1. Reconsideration of the rejection of the Claims as unpatentable over Martin 5,722,641 is requested. Martin uses a hydraulic jacking system, not pneumatic as called for in the present Claims. In Martin the pneumatic system is only used for air operated tools. The pneumatic jacking system is believed to be much simpler and more reliable than the dual hydraulic-pneumatic system of Martin.
2. Reconsideration of the rejection of Claims as unpatentable over Schneider 5,176,391 is requested. Schneider is also a hydraulic system. Furthermore, in the present invention, as stated in Claim 11 an electrical interlock switch, is activated when the vehicle's parking brake is applied, ensuring the vehicle is secured prior to system operation. Providing a signal is not as safe as automatic activation.
3. Furthermore, all of the claims are now directly or indirectly dependent on allowed Claims 2-6, 17 and 21.
4. Allowance of the application is requested.  
Respectfully submitted,

Henry W. Cummings  
8313 W. Adams St.  
St. Charles Mo. 63301  
Attorney for Applicant

It is certified that this Amendment Responsive to the Office Action Mailed June 8, 2004 has been faxed to Exr. Wilson in Art Unit 3723 this 24th day of March, 2005 to 1-703-872-9306.

Henry W. Cummings

-6-

Refaxed this 23rd day of July, 2005  
Henry W. Cummings